

PURSUANT TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 34179.5

CITY OF MOORPARK AS THE
SUCCESSOR AGENCY OF THE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Accountant’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures..... 1

Attachment A: Agreed-Upon Procedures 2

Attachment 3 16

Attachment 4 17

Attachment 6 18

Attachment 7 19

Attachment 9 20



ROGERS, ANDERSON, MALODY & SCOTT, LLP
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, SINCE 1948

735 E. Carnegie Dr. Suite 100
San Bernardino, CA 92408
909 889 0871 T
909 889 5361 F
ramscpa.net

Oversight Board of the Successor Agency for the
Redevelopment Agency of the City of Moorpark
799 Moorpark Ave.
Moorpark, CA 93021

PARTNERS

Brenda L. Odle, CPA, MST
Terry P. Shea, CPA
Kirk A. Franks, CPA
Matthew B. Wilson, CPA, MSA, CGMA
Scott W. Manno, CPA
Leena Shanbhag, CPA, MST
Jay H. Zercher, CPA (Retired)
Phillip H. Waller, CPA (Retired)

MANAGERS / STAFF

Nancy O'Rafferty, CPA, MBA
Bradferd A. Welebir, CPA, MBA
Jenny Liu, CPA, MST
Katie L. Millsom, CPA
Papa Matar Thiaw, CPA, MBA
Maya S. Ivanova, CPA, MBA
Danielle E. Odgers, CPA
William C. Clayton, CPA
Peter E. Murray, CPA
Genivive Schwarzkopf, CPA
Megan Hackney, CPA
Seong-Hyea Lee, CPA, MBA
Charles De Simoni, CPA

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

We have performed the minimum required agreed-upon procedures enumerated in Attachment "A", which were agreed to by the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency for the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Moorpark, the California State Controller's Office and the State of California Department of Finance (Agencies) solely to assist you to determine the unobligated balances available for transfer to taxing entities in "All Other Funds except the Low-Mod Fund (All Other Funds)" complying with statutory requirements pursuant to the California Health and Safety Code section 34179.5. Management of the Successor Agency is responsible for the accounting records pertaining to statutory compliance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34182(a)(1).

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The scope of this engagement was limited to performing the minimum required agreed-upon procedures as set forth in Attachment "A" along with the findings noted as a result of the procedures performed.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion as to the appropriateness of the results summarized after each procedure in Attachment "A". Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the applicable Agencies, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

MEMBERS

American Institute of
Certified Public Accountants

*PCPS The AICPA Alliance
for CPA Firms*

*Governmental Audit
Quality Center*

California Society of
Certified Public Accountants

December 10, 2012

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Purpose: To determine the unobligated balances available for transfer to taxing entities "All Other Funds except the Low-Mod Fund (All Other Funds)". [Health and Safety Code section 34179.5]

Citation: 34179.5(c)(1) The dollar value of assets transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the successor agency on or about February 1, 2012.

1. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of the assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.

Results:

We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. We agreed the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The amount of the assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date was \$ 28,264,266. See below a detailed schedule of the assets transferred.

	Area 1 Operations	MRA 2001 Bonds Proceeds	MRA 2006 Bonds Proceeds	1999 TABS Fund	2001 TABS Fund	2006 TABS Fund	Assets from Government Wide	Total
Assets	2/1/2012	2/1/2012	2/1/2012	2/1/2012	2/1/2012	2/1/2012	2/1/2012	
BoA MRA	\$ 1,471,308	\$ 494,165	\$ 5,139,644	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,105,117
Petty Cash	1,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500
Cash with Fiscal Agent	-	-	-	890,879	872,646	776,167	-	2,539,692
Land Held for Resale	5,880,519	4,822,347	526,760	-	-	-	-	11,229,626
Accounts Receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loans Receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction in Progress	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,958,581	6,958,581
Amortizable Deferred Charge Asset	-	-	-	-	-	-	429,750	429,750
Total Assets	\$ 7,353,327	\$ 5,316,512	\$ 5,666,404	\$ 890,879	\$ 872,646	\$ 776,167	\$ 7,388,331	\$ 28,264,266

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(2) The dollar value of assets and cash and cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency and the purpose of each transfer. The review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

2. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

- A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results:

According to Successor Agency Officials, there were no asset transfers from the former redevelopment agency to the City or County for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

- B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results:

According to Successor Agency Officials, there were no asset transfers from the Successor Agency of the former redevelopment agency to the City or County for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

- C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

Results:

Procedure "Not Applicable" since 2.A and 2.B were not performed.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(3) The dollar value of any cash or cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to any other public agency or private party and the purpose of each transfer. The review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

3. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:

- A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results:

See Attachment 3 for listing obtained from the Successor Agency.

- B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results:

See Attachment 3 for listing obtained from the Successor Agency.

- C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

Results:

See Attachment 3 for results of procedure 3.C.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(4) The review shall provide expenditure and revenue accounting information and identify transfers and funding sources for the 2010–11 and 2011–12 fiscal years that reconciles balances, assets, and liabilities of the successor agency on June 30, 2012 to those reported to the Controller for the 2009–10 fiscal year.

4. Perform the following procedures:

- A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in the attached schedule for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule. For purposes of this summary, the financial transactions should be presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. End of year balances for capital assets (in total) and long-term liabilities (in total) should be presented at the bottom of this summary schedule for information purposes.

Results:

See Attachment 4 for a summary, obtained from the Successor Agency, of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in the attached schedule for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule.

- B. Ascertain that for each period presented the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.

Results:

We ascertained that for each period presented the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers fully accounted for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.

- C. Compare amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period.

Results:

We compared the amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period and noted no variances.

- D. Compare amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. Describe in the report the type of support provided for each fiscal period.

Results:

We compared the amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. For balances of 2010 and 2011 fiscal years we compared to the Audited Financial Statements for those periods. For the balances at 1/31/2012 and 06/30/2012 we compared to the trial balances of the former redevelopment agency and successor agency respectively.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
 DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
 ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(5) A separate accounting for the balance for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund for all other funds and accounts combined shall be made as follows:

(A) A statement of the total value of each fund as of June 30, 2012.

5. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due October 1, 2012 and a listing of all assets of all other funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) for the report that is due December 15, 2012. When this procedure is applied to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, the schedule attached as an exhibit will include only those assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 and will exclude all assets held by the entity that assumed the housing function previously performed by the former redevelopment agency. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listings should be attached as an exhibit to the appropriate AUP report.

Results:

We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of all other funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) as of June 30, 2012. We agreed the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency.

See below for schedule obtained from the Successor Agency.

Assets	Successor Agency 6/30/2012
Cash and Investments	\$ 2,760,385
Cash with Fiscal Agent	1,901,043
Accounts Receivable	3,445
Land Held for Resale	11,248,855
Capital Assets - Construction In Progress	12,625,825
Deferred Charge Asset - Unamortized Cost	412,897
Total	\$ 28,952,450

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(5)(B) An itemized statement listing any amounts that are legally restricted as to purpose and cannot be provided to taxing entities. This could include the proceeds of any bonds, grant funds, or funds provided by other governmental entities that place conditions on their use.

6. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for the following purposes:

A. Unspent bond proceeds:

- i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.)
- ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

Results:

See Attachment 6 for results of procedures 6.i, 6.ii, and 6.iii.

B. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:

- i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
- ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

Results:

According to Successor Agency, there were no Grants Proceeds or Program Income held by the Successor Agency at June 30, 2012.

C. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:

- i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
- ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.

Results:

According to Successor Agency, there were no other assets considered to be legally restricted held by the Successor Agency at June 30, 2012.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

D. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.

Results:

See Attachment 6 for results of procedure 6.D.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(5)(C) An itemized statement of the values of any assets that are not cash or cash equivalents. This may include physical assets, land, records, and equipment. For the purpose of this accounting, physical assets may be valued at purchase cost or at any recently estimated market value. The statement shall list separately housing-related assets.

7. Perform the following procedures:

- A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.

Results:

We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution. We ascertained that the assets as stated are based on book value as reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency.

See Attachment 7 for listing of assets obtained from the Successor Agency.

- B. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.

Results:

We traced the amount to the Successor Agency's records noting no differences.

- C. For any differences noted in 7(B), inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.

Results:

We noted no differences in Procedure 7.(B).

- D. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and/or methodology, note the lack of evidence.

Results:

Procedure 7.(D) is not applicable since the assets identified in procedure 7.(A) are not listed at estimated market value.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(5)(D) An itemized listing of any current balances that are legally or contractually dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation that identifies the nature of the dedication or restriction and the specific enforceable obligation. In addition, the successor agency shall provide a listing of all approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of annual revenues available to fund those requirements. If a review finds that future revenues together with dedicated or restricted balances are insufficient to fund future obligations and thus retention of current balances is required, it shall identify the amount of current balances necessary for retention. The review shall also detail the projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the successor agency, together with both the amount and timing of the bond debt service payments of the successor agency, for the period in which the oversight board anticipates the successor agency will have insufficient property tax revenue to pay the specified obligations.

8. Perform the following procedures:

- A. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of existing asset balances toward payment of that obligation.
 - i. Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.
 - ii. Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.
 - iii. Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.
 - iv. Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.

Results:

The Successor Agency did not identify any assets balances to be retained under this procedure.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

- B. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are insufficient to fund future obligation payments and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:

Results:

The Successor Agency believes that future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are sufficient to fund obligations.

- i. Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 and for the six month period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
- ii. Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each enforceable obligation.
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
- iii. For the forecasted annual revenues:
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.

- C. If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.

Results:

The Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are sufficient to pay bond debt service payments.

- i. Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
- ii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
- iii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

- D. If procedures A, B, or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.
- i. Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
 - ii. Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that needs to be retained.
 - iii. Include the calculation in the AUP report.

Results:

This step is "not applicable" since steps 8.A, 8.B, or 8.C were not performed.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(5)(E) An itemized list and analysis of any amounts of current balances that are needed to satisfy obligations that will be placed on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules for the current fiscal year.

9. If the Successor Agency believes that cash balances as of June 30, 2012 need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency's explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.

Results:

See Attachment 9 for listing and explanations from the Successor Agency of cash balances needed to be retained and its corresponding enforceable obligation in the ROPS 2 (July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012) and in the ROPS 3 (January 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013).

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

Citation: 34179.5(c)(6) The review shall total the net balances available after deducting the total amounts described in subparagraphs (B) to (E), inclusive, of paragraph (5). The review shall add any amounts that were transferred as identified in paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivision (c) if an enforceable obligation to make that transfer did not exist. The resulting sum shall be available for allocation to affected taxing entities pursuant to Section 34179.6. It shall be a rebuttable presumption that cash and cash equivalent balances available to the successor agency are available and sufficient to disburse the amount determined in this paragraph to taxing entities. If the review finds that there are insufficient cash balances to transfer or that cash or cash equivalents are specifically obligated to the purposes described in subparagraphs (B), (D), and (E) of paragraph (5) in such amounts that there is insufficient cash to provide the full amount determined pursuant to this paragraph, that amount shall be demonstrated in an additional itemized schedule.

10. Include (or present) a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities. Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment. The attached example summary schedule may be considered for this purpose. Separate schedules should be completed for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and for all other funds combined (excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund).

Results:

We have included below, (prepared by the Successor Agency), a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities from “All funds except the Low-Mod fund”. We agreed amounts included in the calculation to the results of the procedures performed in each section of the report referenced in the calculation. However, we noticed that the Successor Agency did not add back the \$5,502,665 spent in Capital Outlay after January 31, 2012 shown in Procedure 3.B for the reasons indicated under Procedure 3.C results in the same line item. The accompanying schedule does contain a payment to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance that was verified by the independent accountant.

SUMMARY OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES

Total amount of assets held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (See Procedure 5 for detailed composition)	\$ 28,952,450
Add: the amount of any assets transferred to the city or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (See Procedures 2 and 3 for detailed composition)	-
Less: assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (See Procedure 6 for detailed composition)	(3,188,595)
Less: assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) - (See Procedure 7 for detailed composition)	(24,291,022)
Less: balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) - (See Procedure 8 for detailed composition)	-
Less: balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (See Procedure 9 for detailed composition)	(1,455,850)
Less: the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance	(16,983)
Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities	\$ -

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES**

Attachment A

11. Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management's refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

Results:

We obtained a representation letter dated December 10, 2012 from the Successor Agency's management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to us and the data presented in the report and in all attachments to the report. Included in the representations there is an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been properly identified in the Agreed-upon procedures report and its related exhibits.

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
Attachment 3**

3 A. Transfers from the former redevelopment agency to Other Public Agency/Private Parties from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012					
TRANSFER DESCRIPTION	TRANSFER AMOUNT	TRANSFER DATE	OTHER PUBLIC AGENCY OR PRIVATE PARTIES	Enforceable Obligation or Other Legal Requirement	Procedure 3.C Results
				Required by	
1999 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Payment for interests and principal	\$ 768,506	April 1 and Oct 1, 2011	Bank of New York Melon	Bond Indenture	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>
2001 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Payment for interests and principal	602,432	April 1 and Oct 1, 2011	Bank of New York Melon	Bond Indenture	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>
2006 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Payment for interests and principal	546,712	April 1 and Oct 1, 2011	Bank of New York Melon	Bond Indenture	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>
Pass-Through Payment	58,507	06/30/2011	Ventura County Community College District	Pass-Through Agreement	<i>Document provided consisted of the Pass-through Agreement and the Finance Department calculation of the pass-through amount.</i>
Pass-Through Payment	29,623	01/31/2012	Ventura County Community College District	Pass-Through Agreement	<i>Document provided consisted of the Pass-through Agreement and the Finance Department calculation of the pass-through amount.</i>
Pass-Through Payment	2,602,722	11/28/2011	County of Ventura Auditor Controller	Pass-Through Agreement	<i>Document provided consisted of the Pass-through Agreement and the Finance Department calculation of the pass-through amount.</i>
Pass-Through Payment	119,967	11/28/2011	County of Ventura Auditor Controller	Pass-Through Agreement	<i>Document provided consisted of the Pass-through Agreement and the Finance Department calculation of the pass-through amount.</i>
Pass-Through Payment	117,077	11/28/2011	County of Ventura Auditor Controller	Pass-Through Agreement	<i>Document provided consisted of the Pass-through Agreement and the Finance Department calculation of the pass-through amount.</i>
Pass-Through Payment	114,107	11/28/2011	County of Ventura Auditor Controller	Pass-Through Agreement	<i>Document provided consisted of the Pass-through Agreement and the Finance Department calculation of the pass-through amount.</i>
Total Transfers	\$ 4,959,653				

3 B. Transfers from the Successor Agency to Other Public Agency/Private Parties from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012					
TRANSFER DESCRIPTION	TRANSFER AMOUNT	TRANSFER DATE	OTHER PUBLIC AGENCY OR PRIVATE PARTIES	Enforceable Obligation or Other Legal Requirement	Procedure 3.C Results
				Required by	
1999 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Payment for interests	\$ 108,956	April 1, 2012	Bank of New York Melon	Bond Indenture	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>
2001 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Payment for interests	293,382	April 1, 2012	Bank of New York Melon	Bond Indenture	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>
2006 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service Payment for interests	252,631	April 1, 2012	Bank of New York Melon	Bond Indenture	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>
Pass-Through Payment	157,809	02/29/2012	County of Ventura Auditor Controller	Pass-Through Agreement	<i>Document provided consisted of the Pass-through Agreement and the Finance Department calculation of the pass-through amount.</i>
Capital Outlay - Several Contracts	5,502,665	Between 1/31/2012 & 6/30/2012	Several contractors and private parties	ROPS 1- Page 2 Items	<i>The independent accountant noticed that these transfers were disallowed by the DOF on its letter to the Successor Agency dated May 27, 2012. Per Successor Agency Officials:.. the mentioned line items are consistent with AB 1484. The funds used to pay for these items were considered to be unspent bonds proceeds for a specific purpose in accordance to the bond documents. The Successor Agency expects to have the disallowance determination reversed because AB 1484 and Health and Safety Code 34191.4 "finding of completion". Meanwhile, the Successor Agency kept the project ongoing and intends to finalize it.</i>
Total Transfers	\$ 6,315,443				

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
Attachment 4**

	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2010	Redevelopment Agency 12 Months Ended 6/30/2011	Redevelopment Agency 7 Months Ended 1/31/2012	Successor Agency 5 Months Ended 6/30/2012
Assets (modified accrual basis)				
Cash and Investments	\$ 15,415,672	\$ 12,594,195	\$ 7,116,867	\$ 2,760,385
Imprest Cash	1,500	1,500	1,500	-
Cash with Fiscal Agent	2,178,925	1,884,771	2,539,692	1,901,043
Accounts Receivable	25,894	19,830	4,108	3,445
Interest Receivable	1,281,499	20,745	-	-
Loan & Notes Receivable	2,053,616	616,384	1,792,884	-
Due from Other Funds	350,000	-	-	-
Land Held for Resale	19,428,853	20,795,867	19,544,422	11,248,855
Total Assets	\$ 40,735,959	\$ 35,933,292	\$ 30,999,473	\$ 15,913,728
Liabilities (modified accrual basis)				
Accounts Payable	\$ 3,034,897	\$ 3,804,269	\$ 1,324,419	\$ 2,458,889
Accrued Interest Payable	-	-	-	327,485
Accrued Wages and Withholdings	15,410	17,670	-	-
Due to City of Moorpark	124,686	764,634	-	-
Due to other Funds	350,000	-	-	-
Deferred Revenue	3,306,797	616,384	1,792,884	500
Deposits	11,750	11,750	-	-
Total Liabilities	6,843,540	5,214,707	3,117,303	2,786,874
Equity	33,892,419	30,718,585	27,882,170	13,126,854
Total Liabilities + Equity	\$ 40,735,959	\$ 35,933,292	\$ 30,999,473	\$ 15,913,728
Total Revenues:	\$ 7,187,207	\$ 8,641,030	\$ 4,467,392	\$ 237,064
Total Expenditures:	10,032,944	11,814,864	6,005,672	6,663,444
Total Transfers:	-	-	32,174	-
Net change in equity:	(2,845,737)	(3,173,834)	(1,570,454)	(6,426,380)
Beginning Equity:	36,738,156	33,892,419	29,452,624	19,553,234
Ending Equity:	\$ 33,892,419	\$ 30,718,585	\$ 27,882,170	\$ 13,126,854
Other Information (show year end balances for all three years presented):				
Capital assets as of end of year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,958,581	\$ 12,625,825
Long-term debt as of end of year	28,710,000	28,155,000	27,575,000	27,575,000

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
Attachment 6**

6.A. Listing of Unspent Bond Proceeds as of June 30, 2012					
Successor Agency					
Asset Description	Redevelopment Bonds	TOTAL	Procedure 6.ii Results	Procedure 6. iii Results	Procedure 6 D Results
Cash with Fiscal Agent Reserve Account	1999 TABS	\$ 787,420	<i>We traced the amounts specified to the trial balances of the Successor Agency.</i>	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>	<i>Restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose to comply with bond documents.</i>
Cash with Fiscal Agent Reserve Account	2001 TABS	584,675	<i>We traced the amounts specified to the trial balances of the Successor Agency.</i>	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>	<i>Restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose to comply with bond documents.</i>
Cash with Fiscal Agent Reserve Account	2006 TABS	528,948	<i>We traced the amounts specified to the trial balances of the Successor Agency.</i>	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>	<i>Restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose to comply with bond documents.</i>
Unspent Bonds Proceeds - Cash	2001 TABS	398,280	<i>We traced the amounts specified to the trial balances of the Successor Agency.</i>	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>	<i>Restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose to comply with bond documents.</i>
Unspent Bonds Proceeds - Cash	2006 TABS	889,272	<i>We traced the amounts specified to the trial balances of the Successor Agency.</i>	<i>Document provided consisted of the Bond Indenture and Official Statement.</i>	<i>Restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose to comply with bond documents.</i>
Total Asset Balances		\$ 3,188,595			

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
 DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
 ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
 Attachment 7

7. Listing of Assets Not Liquid or Available for Distribution as of June 30, 2012

Assets Not Liquid	Successor Agency 6/30/2012
Accounts Receivable	\$ 3,445
Land Held for Resale	11,248,855
Capital Assets - Construction In Progress	12,625,825
Deferred Charge Asset - Unamortized Cost	412,897
Total Assets Not Liquid	\$ 24,291,022

**REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF MOORPARK
DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PURSUANT H&S CODE SECTION 34179.5
ALL OTHER FUNDS AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
Attachment 9**

9. Balances Needed to be retained to satisfy future ROPS					
Item number on ROPS 2	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Amount due from July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012	(1) dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation	(2) explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation
1	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bank of New York	\$ 658,956	\$ 658,956	Make the bond debt service payment for October 1, 2012. Successor Agency received only \$96,444 in RPTTF money in June 2012.
2	2001 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bank of New York	313,382	313,382	Make the bond debt service payment for October 1, 2012. Successor Agency received only \$96,444 in RPTTF money in June 2012.
3	2006 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bank of New York	287,631	287,631	Make the bond debt service payment for October 1, 2012. Successor Agency received only \$96,444 in RPTTF money in June 2012.
Total to be retained to pay for ROPS 2 enforceable obligations:				\$ 1,259,969	
Item number on ROPS 3	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Amount due from January 1, 2013 to June 30, 2013	(1) dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation	(2) explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation
1	1999 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bank of New York	\$ 95,550	\$ 95,550	Make the bond debt service payment for April 1, 2013. Successor Agency received only \$96,444 in RPTTF money in June 2012.
2	2001 Tax Allocation Bonds	Bank of New York	292,937	100,331	Make the bond debt service payment for April 1, 2013. Successor Agency received only \$96,444 in RPTTF money in June 2012.
Total to be retained to pay for ROPS 3 enforceable obligations:				\$ 195,881	
Total to be retained to pay for ROPS 2 & ROPS 3 enforceable obligations:				\$ 1,455,850	